

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/654,201	09/01/2000	Gary J. Pond	4285-16408-CIP	6274
26308	7590 07/23/2002			
RYAN KROMHOLZ & MANION, S.C.			EXAMINER	
	CE BOX 26618 EE, WI 53226		O CONNOR, CARY E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			DATE MAILED: 07/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		H-T				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/654,201	POND, GARY J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cary E. O'Connor	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	4ov 2002					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 /						
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.	range, diam and a the requite in				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-23</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	ın.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
∑ Claim(s) <u>16 and 17</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<u> </u>	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15)∐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stropko (5,378,149) in view of Riitano (6,069,979). Stropko shows the claimed needle assembly except for the angle-adjustment sleeve. Riitano shows a needle assembly comprising a needle 170 fixed in an angle-adjustment sleeve 160 (see Figure 7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the needle assembly of Stropko with an angle adjustment sleeve, as taught by Riitano, so that the needle may be made more flexible to enable it to adapt to the curvature of the canal (i.e. the adjustment of the angle is done by the sleeve thus allowing the needle to be more flexible).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 16 and 17 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/654,201

Art Unit: 3732

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 24, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a device wherein the needle itself may be bent by use of the angle adjustment sleeve) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Claim 18 only sets forth an anble-adjustment sleeve surrounding a portion of the sleeve. It does not specify that the needle is bent to adjust its angle.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory

Art Unit: 3732

period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cary E.

O'Connor whose telephone number is 703-308-2701. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-3:30.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0758 for regular communications and 703-308-0758 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Cary E. O'Connor Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

ceo July 21, 2002